

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant sincerely thanks Examiner Wang for conducting a telephonic interview with Applicant's attorney, Robert G. Hartman, on November 5, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney traversed the Office's rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 103(a). Nevertheless, Applicant's attorney proposed adding the language "storage" in claim 9 to obviate the grounds for the § 101 rejections. Applicant's attorney understood the Examiner to at least tentatively agree that if Applicant were to amend independent claim 1 in this manner, this amended claim would overcome the § 101 rejections.

Further, Applicant's attorney respectfully submitted that the cited references ("RapidInstall" and "Reyna") fail to disclose or suggest independent claim 1. Nevertheless, for the sole purpose of expediting allowance and without conceding the propriety of the Office's rejections, Applicant's attorney proposed an amendment to independent claim 1. Applicant's attorney understood the Examiner to state that she would need to further review the RapidInstall cited reference and the previously-defined term "operating environment" before determining whether or not the proposed amendment would overcome the outstanding § 103(a) rejections.

Applicant sincerely thanks the Examiner for her time given during the interview. Applicant herein amends independent claims 1, 9 and 16 as discussed during the interview.